

DLMSO

July 23, 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR: DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Request for a Revised Implementation Date for Approved MILSTRIP Change Letter (AMCL) 35, Inventory Control Point (ICP) Generation of FTR Transactions

The attached change to DoD 4000.25-M, Defense Logistics Management System (DLMS), Volume 2, and DoD 4000.25-1-M, Military Standard Requisitioning and Issue Procedures (MILSTRIP), is forwarded for evaluation and submission of the earliest coordinated Service/Agency implementation date.

This change is one of approximately 26 MILSTRIP and MILSTRAP approved changes which the Components were unable to implement on schedule as resources were shifted to support the Corporate Information Management (CIM) single standard system effort. With the demise of that effort, the Defense Logistics Management Standards Office (DLMSO), with the DoD Supply Process Review Committee (PRC), has agreed to establish revised implementation dates. AMCL 35 was initially published as an approved change on September 5, 1990 with implementation scheduled for November 1, 1995. The Components agreed to the submission of AMCL 35 for a revised implementation date at the DoD Supply PRC meeting 98-2. We will use the dates provided in response to this request to establish a mutually satisfactory revised implementation date.

Procedures associated with this approved change have been incorporated in the DoD 4000.25-M, DLMS, Volume 2 based upon the original AMCL.

Please review the attached change and provide your earliest implementation date to DLMSO no later than 60 days from the date of this memorandum. Addressees may direct questions to the DLMSO points of contact, Ms. Vermella Saváge, DoD MILSTRIP System Administrator, 703-275-5272, DSN 235-5272, or e-mail:

vermella\_savage@hq.dla.mil or Ms. Ellen Hilert, Co-Chair, Supply

Process Review Committee, 703-275-5171, DSN 235-5171, or e-mail:  
ellen\_hilert@hq.dla.mil. Others must contact their Service or  
Agency designated representative.

/signed/  
JAMES A. JOHNSON  
Director  
Defense Logistics Management  
Standards Office

Attachment

DISTRIBUTION:  
MILSTRIP Focal Points  
Supply PRC Members

cc:  
DASD(L)MDM  
ARMY LOGSA SATPV L  
LMI

**APPROVED MILSTRIP CHANGE LETTER 35**  
**INVENTORY CONTROL POINT GENERATION OF FTR TRANSACTIONS**

**1. References:**

- a. MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-3, April 12-14, 1988.
- b. MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 89-3, April 4-6, 1989.
- c. DLSSO-BM letter, January 11, 1989, subject: Proposed MILSTRIP Change Letter (PMCL) 26, ICP Generation of FTR Transaction with Reject Status Code SD.
- d. MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 89-5, September 26-28, 1989.
- e. DLSSD-BM letter, June 26, 1989, subject: Proposed MILSTRIP Change Letter (PMCL) 26A, ICP Generation of FTR Transactions.
- f. DoD 4000.25-1-M, Military Standard Requisitioning and Issue Procedures (MILSTRIP), May 1, 1987, as amended.

**2. Change Concept/Rationale:**

a. **Concept:** Revise MILSTRIP to require the ICP to generate DI FTR transactions with Status Code SE when the DI FTM transaction has an NSN that cannot be identified. Revise Status Codes SC and SD to restrict their use to reject invalid DI FTE and FTF transactions.

**b. Rationale:**

(1) During the reference 1a meeting, the DAAS representative identified a problem in the DAAS processing of DI FTR transactions with Status Code SC. DAAS procedures currently require the DI FTR transaction with Status Code SC to be converted to a DI FTE transaction and routed to the new ICP, if appropriate.

(2) The reference 1c change proposed a revision to MILSTRIP, chapter 9, paragraph P1, to require the ICP to generate a DI FTR transaction with Status Code SD when a DI FTM transaction is received with an unidentifiable NSN.

Attachment

(3) During the reference 1b meeting, the Committee reviewed PMCL 26 and requested that certain changes be made and that the change be restaffed as PMCL 26A.

(4) During the reference 1d meeting, the Committee agreed to revisions to the reference 1e letter (PMCL 26A) and to the release of a request for implementation date.

3. **Interface/Impact Requirements:**

- a. **Interface:** Between DAAS and Service/Agency ICPs.
- b. **Impact:** Require changes to MILSTRIP, DAAS and Service/Agency implementing procedures.

4. **Procedures.** See the enclosure.

Enclosure:  
As stated

**APPROVED MILSTRIP CHANGE LETTER 35**  
**INVENTORY CONTROL POINT GENERATION OF FTR TRANSACTIONS**

1. Chapter 9, Paragraph P.1. Revise to read as follows:

"1. The shipment status transaction is an information transaction that normally requires no subsequent generation of documentation. ICPs/IMMs will receive shipment status transactions and update appropriate IMM records. The shipment date indicated in the DI FTM transaction is available to IMMs to verify intransit status and provide information on expected receipts. DI FTM transactions received for NSNs that cannot be identified or that do not match a previously submitted FTE/FTA will be rejected to the reporting activity using a DI FTR transaction with Status Code SE. DI FTMs which do not pass data field validity checks will be rejected to the reporting activity using DI FTR with the appropriate S\_ series status code."

2. Chapter 9, Paragraph P.2. Add the following as the last two sentences:

"If a DI FTL is received in lieu of a DI FTM, the ICP/IMM will update appropriate records. If the DI FTL contains an NSN that cannot be identified or contains data that does not match an existing report of excess, the DI FTL will be rejected with status code SE."

3. Appendix B16. Add/change the following status codes:

<b>CODE</b>	<b>EXPLANATION</b>
SE	FTL/FTM transaction received by the ICP/IMM identified in rp 4-6. FTL/FTM does not match a report of excess at the ICP/IMM or the NSN in FTL/FTM cannot be identified. Review, correct, and resubmit, if appropriate. (Use on DI FTR.)
SC	Rejected: ICP/IMM of the reported item cannot be determined. Research records and, if applicable, resubmit citing correct stock number. (Assign a new document number if a new excess report is submitted.) If NSN is GSA managed, item is non-stocked; disposition is authorized under local directives. (Use to reject invalid DI FTE/FTF transactions.) (Use on DI FTR.)

Enclosure

SD

Rejected: NSN not identifiable. Corrective action cannot be determined. Review, correct, and resubmit, if appropriate. (Assign a new document number if a new excess report is submitted.) (Use to reject invalid DI FTE/FTF transactions.) (Use on DI FTR.)

Enclosure